Indian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Studies
ISSN: 3108-1681 | Volume- 2, Issue- 4 | October - December 2026

Education, Gender Equality, and Social Transformation in Cambodia and India: A Comparative Policy Analysis

Ms. Pich Natin
Department of Indian Languages and Literary Studies, University of Delhi,
Delhi 110007, India

Abstract

Education is frequently presented as a means of achieving gender equality and broader social transformation, yet policy commitments do not automatically translate into equitable learning or employment opportunities. This article compares education policy frameworks in Cambodia and India, focusing on the ways they define disadvantage, support girls' participation, and connect schooling to social and economic agency. The analysis draws on publicly available policy documents, particularly Cambodia's Education Strategic Plan 2024–2028 and Gender Mainstreaming Strategic Plan in Education 2025–2029, alongside India's National Education Policy 2020 and official descriptions of Samagra Shiksha interventions. A qualitative documentary comparison examines policy objectives, instruments, implementation challenges, and the limits of evaluating outcomes from policy texts alone. Both countries agree that interlocking constraints such as poverty, geography, handicap and societal norms create gender inequity. Cambodia has given priority to gender mainstreaming in educational institutions and targeted help to underprivileged learners. India has a huge equity structure with dedicated facilities including residential schools and specialized school level standards. "The comparisons indicate that true change is more than just getting students enrolled. It also addresses retention, safety, quality of learning, transitions to higher education and work, and women's participation in institutional decision-making. The article proposes an implementation-oriented framework that links policy commitments to measurable indicators while recognizing differences in administrative scale and social context. It offers a documentary policy analysis rather than new fieldwork or causal evidence of program effectiveness.

Keywords: education policy, gender equality, cambodia, india, social transformation, inclusion, women's empowerment

1. Introduction

Education can widen the choices available to girls and women, but the relationship between schooling and equality is neither automatic nor uniform. Formal access matters because literacy, credentials, and social networks can enable participation in public life and paid work. Yet learners may complete schooling without gaining secure employment, independent

*Corresponding Author Email: rnpichnatin@gmail.com
Published: 02 November 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.70558/IJMRS.2026.v2.i4.301252
Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

decision-making power, or protection from discrimination. A comparative policy analysis must therefore distinguish between educational access, the experience and quality of learning, and the wider distribution of opportunities. This distinction is particularly important when governments frame education as a mechanism of social transformation.

Cambodia and India offer a productive comparison without being interchangeable cases. Cambodia's education system operates in a smaller national and administrative context, whereas India's federal arrangements require coordination across national, state, and local institutions. Both confront inequalities that vary by locality, household resources, gender expectations, and the needs of learners with disabilities. Their policies also recognize that educational inclusion depends on more than school availability: safe travel, appropriate facilities, trained teachers, responsive curricula, and institutional accountability shape whether formal rights can be exercised.

This paper asks three questions. First, how do current national education policy frameworks in Cambodia and India conceptualize gender equality? Second, what policy instruments are proposed to reduce barriers to participation and learning? Third, what implementation and evaluation issues arise when education is expected to contribute to social transformation? The objective is interpretive and comparative rather than statistical. The analysis does not claim that policies have achieved their intended outcomes merely because those outcomes appear in official documents.

The discussion is organized around the policy literature, the documentary method, the two national frameworks, and a cross-country interpretation of instruments and limitations. This technique allows the study to discover policy concepts that may be transferable, but avoids the presumption that a program that works in one environment can be replicated in another.

2. Literature Review and Analytical Framework

The relationship between education and gender equality can be understood through a distinction between resources, agency, and outcomes. Kabeer (1999) argues that empowerment concerns the expansion of people's capacity to make strategic life choices where such capacity has been denied. Education may provide resources that support agency, but it does not itself prove that individuals can exercise choice in households, workplaces, or political institutions. This insight is a caution against using female enrollment as a full measure of empowerment.

Sen's (1999) capability perspective similarly directs attention toward substantive freedoms rather than the mere possession of a service. Two students with identical years of schooling may face different opportunities because of safety, family obligations, language, discrimination, or local labor markets. Educational policy should consequently be assessed not only through inputs and attendance but through learners' real opportunities to develop capabilities and pursue valued lives.

International policy commitments offer another analytical lens. Sustainable Development Goal 4 concerns inclusive and equitable quality education, while Goal 5 concerns gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls (United Nations, 2015). These goals are complementary but not identical: gender parity in one educational indicator cannot establish equality in wages, care responsibilities, representation, or freedom from violence. UNESCO's

Global Education Monitoring reporting has repeatedly highlighted the importance of examining inclusion and institutional barriers rather than assuming that aggregate participation captures every disadvantage (UNESCO, 2020).

For this paper, social transformation is defined modestly as changes in the institutional and social conditions that shape opportunity, voice, and participation. The analysis distinguishes four policy dimensions: recognition of unequal starting conditions; provision of practical supports; the quality and safety of educational experience; and pathways from education into agency and participation. These dimensions structure the comparison without requiring unsupported claims about the magnitude of national policy effects.

3. Methodology

This article employs qualitative comparative documentary analysis. The principal Cambodian documents are the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport's Education Strategic Plan 2024–2028 and Gender Mainstreaming Strategic Plan in Education 2025–2029. The principal Indian reference is the Ministry of Education's National Education Policy 2020, supplemented by official government explanations of measures under Samagra Shiksha and the proposed Gender Inclusion Fund. International conceptual and normative literature provides the analytical framework rather than additional country-level outcome data.

Documents were examined for their treatment of gender and other disadvantages, their proposed interventions, and their approaches to institutional implementation. The comparison follows a structured set of questions: Who is identified as disadvantaged? What barriers are acknowledged? Which mechanisms are proposed? What would count as evidence of progress? The analysis then compares patterns of policy design, not the causal impact of individual schemes.

This design has clear limitations. Policy documents communicate governmental intentions and administrative arrangements; they may not reveal actual delivery quality, local interpretation, budget execution, or lived experience. The sources were produced in different years and institutional settings, and the policies are at different stages of implementation. No interviews, surveys, original administrative datasets, or causal impact evaluations were undertaken. Conclusions should therefore be read as findings about policy framing and implementation priorities, not measured changes in women's empowerment.

4. Education and Gender Equality in Cambodia

Cambodia's Education Strategic Plan 2024–2028 places equity and inclusion within a wider agenda of educational quality, governance, and learning improvement (Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport [MoEYS], 2024). Its treatment of gender acknowledges that disparities can persist despite improvements in access. The plan identifies scholarships, the participation of girls and women in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics, and gender-sensitive curriculum approaches among measures intended to reduce inequality. Importantly, the plan also recognizes uncertainty about whether intended measures will deliver their anticipated effects and points toward monitoring and evaluation as necessary for adjustment.

The subsequent Gender Mainstreaming Strategic Plan in Education 2025–2029 places gender equality across the education sector rather than confining it to a single enrollment target

(MoEYS, 2025). The plan discusses access, learning quality, women's participation among education personnel, and a supportive social environment. Proposed instruments include scholarships targeted toward disadvantaged learners, attention to safe travel and accommodation, inclusive learning materials, and changes in attitudes toward girls' education. These elements demonstrate a policy understanding that household resources and cultural expectations can shape educational opportunity.

A strength of the Cambodian policy framing is its recognition of institutional processes as well as student participation. When women are underrepresented in leadership or particular fields of study, simply increasing the number of school places may be insufficient. Teacher development, gender-responsive guidance, curricular representation, and women's participation in educational administration may influence the environment in which girls make educational decisions. But the significance of those measures must be assessed against implementation evidence, not assumed from policy rhetoric.

It's hard because of location and how resources are spread out. A policy may guaranty scholarships or safe accommodation but access depends on whether eligible learners are aware of the support, whether facilities exist in the right areas, and whether administrative units can supply them consistently. The Education Strategic Plan admits that injustice might come from variations in capacity of subnational implementation (MoEYS, 2024). This means that monitoring should be done both on the average level nationally and on the distribution of resources and results amongst regions and socio-economic categories.

5. Education and Gender Equality in India

India's National Education Policy 2020 articulates equity and inclusion as cross-cutting concerns and recognizes socio-economically disadvantaged groups, including groups whose educational opportunities are shaped by gender and other forms of marginalization (Ministry of Human Resource Development, 2020). The policy proposes a Gender Inclusion Fund and other measures intended to help governments respond to barriers facing girls and transgender students. This language is important as it highlights that disadvantage is multi-dimensional, rather than presuming all girls experience the same thing.

These policy goals are closely associated with the Samagra Shiksha requirements such as educational materials, hygienic facilities, gender sensitive instructional resources and targeted support to underprivileged learners (Ministry of Education, 2023). Another approach of reaching ladies from disadvantaged communities is through Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalayas (residential schools). Such measures address practical barriers that cannot be resolved through curricular statements alone: distance, safety, costs, and the availability of supportive school environments can determine whether schooling is realistically accessible.

India's scale and federal structure make implementation a central analytical question. National policy objectives require interpretation through state systems and local institutions, which differ in resources, languages, geography, and social conditions. Uniform national descriptions therefore conceal possible variation in the quality and reach of interventions. Effective evaluation would disaggregate participation, retention, transition, and learning outcomes and examine whether the groups most affected by disadvantage actually receive intended support.

Education policy also intersects with the broader conditions under which women use educational qualifications. Improved school completion may expand access to higher education and work, but employment opportunities, unpaid care burdens, safety, and discriminatory expectations can constrain the exercise of agency. It would therefore be inappropriate to infer social transformation directly from a school-level policy instrument. A defensible policy analysis asks how education reforms connect with other institutions and whether graduates can translate learning into choices and participation.

6. Comparative Analysis and Discussion

The Cambodian and Indian frameworks share a commitment to equity while differing in their administrative and programmatic emphasis. Cambodia's current plans articulate gender mainstreaming within sectoral governance and explicitly discuss women's participation in educational institutions. India's national framework highlights socio-economically disadvantaged groups and describes a combination of financial, infrastructural, and residential-school interventions. These are differences of emphasis within policy documents, not evidence that either country has definitively solved a problem neglected by the other.

Both cases show why a policy inventory is an incomplete measure of equality. Scholarships may cover immediate expenditures, but they don't necessarily address safety or caregiving responsibilities. Residential facilities can overcome distance limitations, but require security, staffing and supervision. But how gender-responsive textbooks are used in classrooms depends on instructors and local institutions in countering prejudices. Each instrument operates by a chain of assumptions which governments must make explicit before they can claim success.

The comparison also illustrates the importance of intersectionality for implementation. Gender interacts with household income, disability, remoteness, language, and other forms of exclusion. Programs that improve average female participation may leave the most disadvantaged girls behind if selection procedures, transport, information, or school accessibility are poorly designed. Disaggregated indicators can help reveal such patterns, but quantitative monitoring should be complemented by qualitative feedback from learners, families, and educators.

A useful comparative framework would track five linked stages: policy commitment, budget and administrative delivery, access and retention, learning and transition outcomes, and longer-term agency. At the first stage, analysts can inspect stated rights and targets. At the second, they can examine whether staff, facilities, and resources reach intended populations. At the third and fourth, participation and learning evidence become essential. The fifth is about employment, leadership and decision-making problems that need evidence beyond the school sector. The separation of the two steps precludes the error of confusing a statement or an expenditure with empowerment.

A practical evaluation agenda for Cambodia could be to assess whether gender mainstreaming results in consistent support across provinces; whether girls in disadvantaged communities are able to access scholarship and accommodation provisions; and whether women are given meaningful opportunities in educational leadership. For India, it could examine state-level differences in the reach of targeted provisions, the experiences of girls in residential

institutions, and the extent to which school-level inclusion supports successful transitions. These are proposed research directions rather than claims of observed results.

Neither case justifies a simple ranking. Policy frameworks are issued at different times, reflect different administrative structures, and provide varying levels of specificity. A robust comparison would need aligned datasets, consistent definitions, and attention to baseline conditions. The present documentary approach is valuable because it clarifies policy assumptions and evaluation questions, but its findings remain interpretive and cannot establish which national system is more effective.

Social transformation should also be understood as reciprocal. Education can challenge limiting norms, and existing norms influence who enrolls, stays in school, selects certain fields, or is encouraged to work. Policy success may consequently need discussion with families and communities, institutional safeguards, and complementary economic and social initiatives. The strongest policy design is not simply one that promises equality, but one that identifies mechanisms by which disadvantaged learners can exercise meaningful choice.

7. Policy Implications

First, policymakers should distinguish gender parity in access from equality in educational experience and later opportunities. Monitoring systems should include indicators for retention, completion, learning quality, safety, transitions, and participation in leadership, with appropriate disaggregation. Indicators should be selected based on barriers that are locally relevant, not based on nationwide enrollment totals.

Secondly, assistance measures should be viewed as bundles and not as standalone initiatives. Scholarships would be more effective if accompanied with secure transit, proper facilities, counseling, accessible instruction and clear information for families. The exact mix should be evidence-informed concerning difficulties learners experience, with respect to disability, remoteness and household resources.

Thirdly, gender-responsive governance involves transparent responsibilities and avenues for feedback. Ministries and local education authorities should be able to determine where support is failing, listen to affected students and teachers, and revise programs accordingly. Monitoring should not be used merely to document compliance; it should inform resource allocation and corrective action.

Finally, claims about empowerment should be supported by evidence outside the classroom. Working with agencies responsible for employment, social protection, health and community participation can assist clarify if educational successes lead to more choices. Future research should be comparative and draw on a mix of policy analysis, field interviews and longitudinal evidence, provided that ethical protections and appropriate sampling are observed.

8. Conclusion

This comparative documentary analysis finds that Cambodia and India both present education as a means of addressing gender inequality, while employing different policy emphases and institutional arrangements. Cambodia's recent education plans stress sector-wide gender mainstreaming, inclusive learning, and attention to subnational implementation. India's

National Education Policy and associated official program descriptions emphasize equity across disadvantaged groups and practical interventions intended to support girls' participation. Both approaches acknowledge that educational exclusion has multiple causes.

The central argument is that education policy contributes to social transformation only when formal commitments are translated into sustained, equitable learning and opportunities for agency. Enrollment targets, scholarship announcements, or curricular aspirations are important but insufficient indicators of empowerment. We need more evidence to gage real delivery and consequences. Future research should investigate local implementation and women's experiences across educational transitions before drawing causal conclusions about policy effectiveness.

References

Kabeer, N. (1999). Resources, agency, achievements: Reflections on the measurement of women's empowerment. Development and Change, 30(3), 435–464. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-7660.00125

Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2023, July 31) National Education Policy, 2020 provides for setting up a Gender Inclusion Fund (GIF) especially for girls and transgender students to provide them equitable quality education. Press Information Bureau. https://www.pib.gov.in/Pressreleaseshare.aspx?PRID=1944287

Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport. (2024). Education strategic plan 2024–2028. Royal Government of Cambodia. https://planipolis.iiep.unesco.org/sites/default/files/ressources/cambodia_ESP_2024_2028_Eng.pdf

Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport. (2025) Gender mainstreaming strategic plan in education sector 2025–2029. Royal Government of Cambodia. https://nepcambodia.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/04/Official-GMSP_2025-2029_EN_31Dec2025.pdf

Ministry of Human Resource Development. (2020). National education policy 2020. Government of India. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf

Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Alfred A. Knopf.

UNESCO. (2020). Global education monitoring report 2020: Inclusion and education: All means all. UNESCO. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373718

United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda